

REMARKS

This Amendment, submitted in response to the Office Action dated October 30, 2007, is believed to be fully responsive to each point of rejection raised therein. Accordingly, favorable reconsideration on the merits is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-33 are all the claims pending in the application. Applicant has amended independent claims 1, 8, 17 and 24.

I. Rejection of claims 1, 8, 17 and 24 under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1, 8, 17 and 24 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Tso et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,421,733; hereinafter "Tso").

Claim 1

Claim 1 now recites:

A system for managing multimedia contents in an intranet, comprising:

a server, wherein if the server receives multimedia contents from one of a plurality of DRM server groups having a unique DRM solution respectively, the server performs communication relating to services with the corresponding DRM server group according to the DRM solution of the received multimedia contents, converts the received multimedia contents into multimedia contents having a format suitable for at least one client of the intranet and transmits the converted multimedia contents to the client.

The art currently cited by the Examiner does not teach the elements of claim 1.

Specifically, the art currently cited by the Examiner does not disclose the claimed plurality of DRM server groups having a unique DRM solution. Further, there is no teaching or suggestion that a server performs communication relating to services with the corresponding DRM server group according to the DRM solution of the received multimedia contents as claimed.

For at least the above reasons, claim 1 and its dependent claims should be deemed allowable. To the extent independent claims 8, 17 and 24 recite similar subject matter, claims 8, 17 and 24 and their dependent claims should be deemed allowable for at least the same reasons.

II. Rejection of claims 2-4, 9-11, 18-20 and 25-27 under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 2-4, 9-11, 18-20 and 25-27 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tso in view of Russ et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,748,080; hereinafter "Russ"). Claims 2-4, 9-11, 18-20 and 25-27 should be deemed allowable by virtue of their dependency to claims 1, 8, 17 and 24 for at least the reasons set forth above. Moreover, Russ does not cure the deficiencies of Tso.

III. Rejection of claims 5 and 21 under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 5 and 21 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tso in view of Russ and in view of Fransdonk (U.S. Patent No. 7,228,427; hereinafter "Fransdonk"). Claims 5 and 21 should be deemed allowable by virtue of their dependency to claims 1 and 17 for at least the reasons set forth above. Moreover, Fransdonk does not cure the deficiencies of Tso and Russ.

IV. Rejection of claims 6, 7, 12, 16, 22, 23, 28 and 32 under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 6, 7, 12, 16, 22, 23, 28 and 32 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tso in view of Russ and in view of Hans et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7,200,575; hereinafter "Hans"). Claims 6, 7, 12, 16, 22, 23, 28 and 32 should be deemed allowable by virtue of their dependency to claims 1, 8, 12 and 24 for at least the reasons set forth above. Moreover, Russ and Hans do not cure the deficiencies of Tso.

V. Rejection of claims 13-15 and 29-33 under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 13-15 and 29-33 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tso in view of Russ and in view of Hans and in view of Fransdonk. Claims 13-15 and 29-33 should be deemed allowable by virtue of their dependency to claims 8 and 24 for at least the reasons set forth above. Moreover, Russ, Hans and Fransdonk do not cure the deficiencies of Tso.

VI. Conclusion

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

/Ruthleen E. Uy/

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

Ruthleen E. Uy
Registration No. 51,361

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: January 30, 2008